UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

LAKE CHARLES DIVISION

JIAN R. MEI : DOCKET NO. 2:08-cv-0139

Section P

VS. : JUDGE DOHERTY

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, ET AL. : MAGISTRATE JUDGE HILL

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Currently before the court is a "Motion to Dismiss" [doc. 8] filed on behalf of the respondents in the above-captioned *habeas corpus* matter. This matter has been referred to the undersigned magistrate judge for review, report, and recommendation in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B).

A petition for writ of *habeas corpus* pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 was filed on behalf of petitioner, Jian R. Mei, on January 24, 2008 challenging his continued detention in post-removal-order custody. The petition alleges that Petitioner has been under a final order of removal since July 2, 2007, and that he has been in post-removal-order detention since that time. He claims that there is no significant likelihood of removal to China in the reasonably foreseeable future.

In response to this petition, the government filed the "Motion to Dismiss" which is currently before the court. In support of this motion, the government presents documentation which establishes that the petitioner was released from post-removal-order detention by means of his removal from this country on February 19, 2008. *See* Government Exhibit 1.

At the time that this petition was filed, Petitioner was in detention pursuant to the statutory authority of § 241 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), codified at 8 U.S.C. § 1231, and he sought to have the court review his post-removal-order detention. However, because the petitioner is

no longer in custody, his challenge to his post-removal-order detention is now moot and should be

dismissed.

For this reason,

IT IS RECOMMENDED that the "Motion to Dismiss" [doc. 8] be GRANTED and that this

petition be DENIED AND DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE as moot.

Under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the parties have ten (10) business days from

receipt of this Report and Recommendation to file any objections with the Clerk of Court. Timely

objections will be considered by the district judge prior to a final ruling.

FAILURE TO FILE WRITTEN OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED FINDINGS AND

RECOMMENDATIONS CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT WITHIN TEN (10) BUSINESS

DAYS FROM THE DATE OF ITS SERVICE SHALL BAR AN AGGRIEVED PARTY FROM

ATTACKING ON APPEAL, EXCEPT UPON GROUNDS OF PLAIN ERROR, THE

UNOBJECTED-TO PROPOSED FACTUAL FINDINGS AND LEGAL CONCLUSIONS

ACCEPTED BY THE DISTRICT COURT.

THUS DONE AND SIGNED in Chambers at Lafayette, Louisiana, March 17, 2008.

C MICHAEL HILL

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2